## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.   | Applicant(s)     | eant(s) |  |
|-------------------|------------------|---------|--|
| 10/540,771        | KINOSHITA ET AL. |         |  |
| Examiner          | Art Unit         |         |  |
| GERARD T. HIGGINS | 1794             |         |  |

|  | GERARD T. HIGGINS   | 1794  |   |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence addr                                      | ess                                     |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | , or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or             | nich places the<br>(3) a Request        |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)</li> </ul>                                     | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection                           | ٦.                                      |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origin                                    | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | te extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi   | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                  |   |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.  | nsideration and/or search (see NOT  |   | cause                                   |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti<br/>appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims.</li> </ul>  |   |   | e issues for                            |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   |   |  |
| 4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |   | ·   | •                                       |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |   |   |   |  |
| <u>over Otomo, claims 2 and 4 under 35 USC 103(a) over Otomo i</u><br>Otomo in view of Matsuishi et al. and further in view of Ota.  | n view of Matsuishi et al., and claim   | is 5 and 6 under 35 Us                                  | SC 103(a) over                          |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | owable if submitted in a separate, t  | imely filed amendmen                                    | canceling the                           |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | be entered and an ex                                    | planation of                            |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | I sufficient reasons why the affidavi   | t or other evidence is r                                | necessary and                           |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented.  Se   | ll and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).     | to provide a                            |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attache                                | d.                                      |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowand                                  | e because:                              |  |
| 12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |   |  |
| /Callie E. Shosho/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794   |   |   |   |  |